2.3

2 (Case called)

MR. TURNER: Good afternoon, your Honor. George Turner and Sid Kamaraju for the government.

MR. KAMARAJU: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. MCMAHON: Good afternoon, your Honor. Gerold McMahon for the defendant Saddan Raishani.

THE COURT: Good afternoon to both of you. You can be seated. So this matter is on for sentencing. Mr. Raishani pled guilty in November to attempting to provide material support to a foreign terrorist organization and conspiring to provide material support or resources to a foreign terrorist organization.

In connection with today's proceeding, I've reviewed the following submissions: The final presentence investigation report, revised as of February 6; Mr. Raishani's sentencing memorandum, dated March 18 with accompanying exhibits; and a supplemental submission, dated March 27, and the government's sentencing memorandum, dated March 26.

Have the parties received each of these submissions and am I missing anything?

MR. TURNER: We've received the submissions, your Honor, and there's nothing further from the government.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MCMAHON: Same with the defense, your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. McMahon. 3 Why don't we begin by discussing the presentence 4 report. 5 Mr. McMahon, have you read the presentence report and 6 discussed it with your client? 7 MR. MCMAHON: We have, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you have any objections? 8 9 MR. MCMAHON: No, we do not. 10 THE COURT: Does the government have any objections to 11 the presentence report? 12 MR. TURNER: No, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: The court adopts the factual findings in 14 the report. The presentence report will be made a part of the 15 record in this matter and placed under seal. If an appeal is 16 taken, counsel on appeal may have access to the sealed report 17 without further application to the Court. 18 Mr. Raishani, when you pled guilty in November, we 19 discussed the federal sentencing guidelines. As you know, they 20 are a set of rules. They are designed to guide judges when 21 they impose sentence, although at one time they were mandatory, 22 meaning judges were required to follow them, they're no longer 2.3 binding on judges. They're no longer mandatory, but judges

Do the parties agree with the guidelines calculation

must nonetheless consider them.

24

25

in the presentence report pursuant to which Mr. Raishani is facing a guidelines range of 300 months in prison?

MR. TURNER: Yes, your Honor.

MR. MCMAHON: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So based on the parties' agreement and my independent evaluation of the sentencing guidelines, I accept the guidelines calculation in the presentence report. I find Mr. Raishani's offense level is 36, his criminal history category is six, and his recommended guidelines sentence is 300 months in prison.

As I said a moment ago, that range is only advisory. Courts may impose a sentence outside of that range based on one of two legal concepts, a departure or a variance. A departure allows for a sentence outside of the advisory range based on some provision of the guidelines themselves. In the plea agreement, both parties agree that no departure from the guidelines range is warranted. Nevertheless, I've considered whether there is an appropriate basis for departure from the advisory range within the guidelines system. And while recognizing that I have the authority to depart, I don't find any grounds warranting departure. I also have the power to impose a nonguidelines sentence based on what we call a variance, as you all know. With that, I'll hear from the parties.

Would the government like to be heard?

1 MR. TURNER: Thank you, your Honor. We would.

Your Honor, the government made a detailed submission which your Honor alluded to. We won't repeat what's in our submission, but we would like to highlight several points for the Court.

THE COURT: Sure.

2.3

MR. TURNER: And the first, your Honor, is the duration of the defendant's support for ISIS.

THE COURT: It's almost a two-year period.

MR. TURNER: It's almost a two-year period. That's correct. It began with a pact with another ISIS follower, the follower we described as CC-1 in our papers. That was in 2015. And it culminated after nearly two years with the defendant's attempt to travel overseas himself to join ISIS.

Your Honor, this was not a hasty or a rash or impulsive decision. This was a period of time during which the defendant radicalized, and that radicalization fermented and built and culminated in his own efforts to travel overseas and join this group. We submit that is relevant for a number of respects, but particularly it underscores the seriousness of the conduct, and the need for the sentence here to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant. His radicalization at the time of his arrest was total and absolute.

Your Honor, another point we'd like to address, and we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

do touch on this in our submission, but it is the defendant's claim at sentencing that he intended only to provide medical services to the Islamic State after traveling overseas. undisputed facts in this case, including the facts set forth in the PSR, to which there are there is no objection, made clear that the notion that the defendant was somehow just a peaceful humanitarian or someone interested only in providing humanitarian aide is nonsense. The defendant absorbed, consumed, and embraced violent ISIS propaganda. These are videos that glorified beheading, murder, terrorist acts. He trained physically before traveling, attempting to travel overseas. He told an undercover law enforcement officer that he was prepared and aspired to die to commit the ultimate sacrifice to martyr himself for ISIS. The defendant was fully prepared to fight for ISIS and assist the group and further its cause in any way that he could.

But even if, even if the Court credits the defendant's claim that he intended to provide medical services, that doesn't mitigate his conduct here. The Second Circuit, this is the Farhane case we cite in our papers. The Second Circuit has made clear that providing medical services to a terrorist organization is squarely within the heartland of the type of conduct that the material support statute prescribes. ISIS and other groups like it, they need, they depend on followers supporting and serving the groups in any number of ways. And

here, the defendant, even if we credit that claim, he assisted and supported another ISIS supporter to travel overseas and travel and fight successfully for ISIS.

THE COURT: Is the first time that you've heard this contention that he was only going over there to provide medical assistance at sentencing in connection with the sentencing?

MR. TURNER: Your Honor, the defendant did reference his medical background and his capability to provide those types of services during the course of the investigation, but that was in parallel to also making these other references to his willingness and his preparation to serve the group in other ways. And we would also note that he explicitly described how he intended to use his medical background as a ruse to cross borders overseas posing as a humanitarian aid worker. Your Honor, it's quite possible that the defendant did intend to provide some medical assistance when he reached the Islamic State, it is clear from the fact that he was prepared to fight and serve the group in other ways.

Your Honor, we would note for the Court in this regard, we noted in the our submission, it is telling in our view that the probation office, which interviewed the defendant rejected this claim as an after-the-fact attempt to justify his actions. And the probation department put it, in our view, quite well, when there is no possible justification for seeking to join and serve a group like ISIS.

Your Honor, the third point we'd like to touch on is related to the first point, the duration of the conduct, but it's the intensity of the radicalization here. The facts leave no doubt that at the time this defendant walked down that jetway and tried to board a plane, his commitment to the cause, the murderous cause of ISIS was total. This is a defendant who wrote a will, a lengthy will, and left it for his family members. We've attached that to our submission. We respectfully submit that will is cold, it is calculating, and it is terrifying, because it is a window into a man who was prepared to leave everything behind — the family members and the other, undoubtedly supporters of the defendant today, those are the people who the defendant was willing and prepared to abandon. He chose ISIS over those folks.

Now, he didn't just choose ISIS. He did it after trying to convince his wife to go with him. So during that intervening period between helping CC-1 and trying to travel overseas, he tried to radicalize his wife and get her to go with him. She refused. That didn't stop him. He left her on his way to the airport.

Your Honor, another factor is the defendant's life experiences, his history and his characteristics. Those are aggravating factors here, because ISIS, over the last several years and other groups like it, but ISIS has preyed often on young men who are, for various reasons wayward, perhaps it's

drug addictions, perhaps it's a lack of family connections, no 1 2 The defendant was none of those things. He was in his iob. late 20s, he wasn't a teenager, he had a college degree, he was 3 4 employed, family connections, he was a United States citizen, and he made a considered and calculated decision over time to 5 6 leave all of that behind and choose ISIS over all of that. 7 Judge, in our view that is terrifying, because that is 8 indicative, it underscores the commitment to the cause and the 9 likelihood that he would return to supporting radical jihadist 10 ideology.

Lastly, Judge, deterrence. We respectfully submit it is a very important consideration here. We are living, unfortunately, in a world where ISIS and other groups like it recruit young men in the west and in this country through their propaganda and websites online.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Do you think a lengthy jail sentence is going to deter someone who is willing to die for an extremist cause?

MR. TURNER: Judge, we think it's an significant consideration for this reason. In 2015, the defendant, an educated man living comfortably made a conscious choice to go down this road. He starts consuming propaganda and he radicalizes. We submit the next young man who is out there now who is not radicalized yet who still has a choice yet and who is not yet brainwashed and who is not yet prepared to die for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ISIS should understand and that a sentence in a case like this a United States citizen in the New York City area who picks up and is willing to leave and go and join and fight for ISIS, the sentence imposed, we submit should send the message when you conspire with a terrorist organization like that and you make that choice, the consequences will be of the utmost seriousness.

Judge, I'll conclude by saying that for all of those reasons and all of the other reasons that we've set forth in our submission, we do submit that the appropriate sentence here is a quidelines sentence and that the defense has not identified any mitigating considerations. And we submit that's because there aren't any. Most recently, the defense put in a sentencing reply letter, which contained personal attacks against government counsel, in terms of its substance, frankly, it was bizarre and somewhat disturbing. This case has nothing to do with the IRA or Vietnam. And your Honor, the notion that terrorism with respect to ISIS is somehow in the eyes of the beholder, that's beyond the pale. This is a group that is responsible for countless deaths of Americans here and abroad, and the defendant tried to board a plane overseas, join it, and help it.

So, your Honor, at the end of the day, this case is fairly straightforward. The defendant radicalized, he devoted himself to ISIS, he helped another man, another U.S. citizen

travel overseas and join and fight for ISIS, and then he tried to do the same thing himself. We believe that a guidelines sentence is warranted and appropriate. It's the sentence that probation recommends and it's supported by the case law that we've cited in our papers, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. McMahon.

MR. MCMAHON: Yes, Judge. First of all, your Honor, I do not believe that the reply submission was a personal attack on the government.

THE COURT: There is a little bit of an attack on there.

MR. MCMAHON: It was an attack on the government's submission, the tone and tenor of that submission was, as I thought exactly as I described.

THE COURT: Are you really comparing an ISIS fighter to an American soldier?

MR. MCMAHON: Well, Judge, what I was comparing was ISIS fighter to an IRA soldier and to an Irgun soldier.

And in terms of comparative impropriety, in terms of comparative wrongdoing, you can't escape the fact that 58,000 American soldiers died in Vietnam in an undeclared war originating in a mistake, in fact, a fraud, the Gulf of Tonkin. I was that soldier. I got drafted, I could have been dead, but I wasn't. So to say that the United States Government, which

J4 Case IS17-cr-00421-RA Document 62 Filed 05/06/19 Page 12 of 34

perpetrated that act over 10 years, 58,000 dead and just to say, well, that's nothing, you can't compare that to Al-Qaeda or to ISIS, well, I do think in terms of harm caused, I think you absolutely can.

As bad as ISIS is, and it is particularly bad mostly in its tactics, beheading is an anathema to people in the west. So you can't get around that. But in terms of, as I pointed out, the total number of people dead as a result of ISIS doesn't come anywhere near the 58,000 dead fellow soldiers who fought in Vietnam in an undeclared war that was unjust and immoral. And as to some of those people who were victims in My Lai and other places of napalm attacks, that was not very pretty either, not at all.

What I did try to point out in the reply, and I knew that it would be, to say the least rile the government a little bit, but there is, Judge, this concept of terrorism, we in the west because of 9/11 and everything associated with that, we have this view. And I myself, when Bin Laden was killed, it was a great moment for all Americans. We feel that, we understand that, but there's the rest of the world, we are not the only country in the world.

And in terms of the Israelis, Menachem Begin was a stone cold terrorist. He was an anathema to England, to United States, to everybody. Except to the Jewish people there. So he was an absolute terrorist, then he's the president of the

J4 288 IS 17-cr-00421-RA Document 62 Filed 05/06/19 Page 13 of 34

country, the Prime Minister.

2.3

Martin McGuinness, Adams, and Michael Collins were terrorists. The IRAs, parts of it is still on the foreign terrorist organization designation. That's what it is. Now, to the McMahon family, the IRA has never been a terrorist organization and never will be. So we don't care that the government said now. That doesn't mean that I, Jerry McMahon go out and give money and arms to the IRA, because I know the consequences.

So the point I'm trying to make, Judge, and it's not a equivalent, it's not a perfect equivalent, but don't be misled by the concept of the terrorism that ISIS, Al-Qaeda and Hezbollah are so foreign, so completely otherworldly that they have to get a 25-year sentence with no criminal record and a credible background and really no actual harm done.

THE COURT: We're talking about an organization that regularly slaughters and beheads innocent people, enslaves women.

MR. MCMAHON: Yes.

THE COURT: That rapes children.

MR. MCMAHON: Yes.

THE COURT: And in your view, should that not properly be labeled a terrorist organization?

MR. MCMAHON: Yes, no question about it. And he pleaded guilty when I came into the case, we probably pled

J4 Case IS17-cr-00421-RA Document 62 Filed 05/06/19 Page 14 of 34

within three weeks after talking to the government and their superiors.

Judge, that's the anomaly of the case. As the government rightly points out, this is not your average terrorist, by no means, I would dare say that in none of the cases that he could find, Judge Jones or anything with judge Berman, cases in California, all of the other places, how many of those people had college degrees, a nursing degree, those things?

THE COURT: But doesn't that make him more blameworthy rather than less. It's different if you have a kid, if you have someone young and impressionable, there are cases with people who have some form of mental illness. But this is not that case, this is someone who is a full-grown adult who made this decision knowingly to radicalize.

MR. MCMAHON: Yes.

THE COURT: And I attribute that, your Honor, to two things. No. 1, religion is an instrument of war, thousands and thousands of years Muslims and Crusaders have been going at it. In northern Ireland, Ireland, it was the Protestants and Catholics. Religion creates the circumstances under which war is easy. My client is a singularly disciplined, intense person. He doesn't really do things halfway. That's to his credit academically and things like that, but it's to his detriment when he goes off on a religious path that is not very

J4 Case IS17-cr-00421-RA Document 62 Filed 05/06/19 Page 15 of 34

good, not for him, not for his family or for the country.

As he said in his letter to the Court, that he betrayed the country, he knows that. The country -- he came here when he was about five years old. The country provided his father and his mother with a good living. It provided him with an education. Through his own efforts he worked it, but it was a country where, unlike in the Middle East, here he could work hard, get ahead, get an education, and do all of those things. So in his letter to the Court, and I believe that your Honor will see that as a heartfelt letter,

Mr. Raishani does not do things willy-nilly.

THE COURT: How do I know this is heartfelt? This is a man who is deceptive in the course of his conduct. In the will itself he directed his wife to mislead investigators.

When he looked at the propaganda, he deleted it, he wiped his fingerprints. He was trying to cover his tracks. Why should I believe that there is genuine remorse in that letter or anything that he may choose to say today?

MR. MCMAHON: Because, Judge, I think it's a different person today than he was then. I asked him that same question when I'm interviewing him going over his background, I'm talking about beheadings and stuff like that. I'm not bashful about confronting him with that. I said to him, you're leaving a wife and a child and your parents, what were you thinking? To which his answer was, I wasn't. And he wasn't.

So your Honor, to some degree, whenever you sentence the defendant, you have to take a bit of things on faith. You can't hook up a machine and measure true remorse, you cannot, but what you can see is what he has done in the two years since he's been in jail. He has been enormously helpful to other inmates. He was enormously helpful in suicide watch, being a companion to inmates in there to help prevent suicide in MCC. The letters have suggested this is a person who was extremely helpful to her people. The nurse that he went to school with, Kenny Ocasio, he describes an individual that they were in a study group together, and Adam was unbelievable helpful to everybody else in the group.

But turning more to the issue of the ISIS methodology. Judge, people go off on crazy rants and the question is, is he going to go off on another one when he goes out, that's the question. And that's where the family support and the education, having a wife and child, make it less likely.

THE COURT: That didn't make a difference. He had a little baby at home. He left his wife, he left his child. Why is it going to be different 15, 20 years from now?

MR. MCMAHON: Because when you're in the rapture of a holy war that -- he was embarrassed, by the way, Judge. When he had that other fellow from the mosque, CC-1 who went over there, my client felt ashamed and humiliated that he went, CC-1, and he didn't. And it sort of festered in him and he

J42BAIS17-cr-00421-RA Document 62 Filed 05/06/19 Page 17 of 34

2.3

felt guilty over the fact that the other guy acted on his religious beliefs and my client didn't. And that really festered on him for a year thinking about that.

And you also have to agree, Judge, that there was a confidential source, an informant and an undercover agent that he certainly didn't do anything to dissuade him from that and it would be fair to say that they probably stoked the fires a little bit, but we didn't make this — we're not making that claim that the responsibility for the decision that he made is anything but his own.

There is one thing that I do want to clarify, the government in their papers and in the argument here said that my client's commitment was absolutely total and forever. Those are the words that they were using. I don't think that the facts justify that assessment. First of all, the plane ticket that my client bought was a roundtrip ticket. It wasn't a one-way ticket. It was a roundtrip ticket. This was a gentleman who was meticulous about money, every dollar he nursed every dollar. So he wasn't going.

THE COURT: He paid as many debts as he could before he left.

MR. MCMAHON: Paid off his debts.

THE COURT: He prepared to die, right?

MR. MCMAHON: Yes, yes, but, but he prepared to die, paid off his debts, apparently the Koran tells him he must.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But in the back of his mind, he's 32 years old, with everything here. So he has a roundtrip ticket, and he also tells his family, his brother that -- about this car that he had which he was going to sell and give the proceeds to his wife. He told his brother -- and it's part of the 3500 material -- that if I come back shortly, tell the person that you're selling the car 7 to that I may want the car back. That's part of the evidence in the case. So forever isn't necessarily forever. This is a young kid who made an incredible decision to leave a wife, a 10 child.

THE COURT: He wasn't a kid. He was in his late 20s. He was almost 30. He's 32 now. He had a child, a degree, he's a nurse. This is not a kid. If this was a kid, I could understand the argument.

MR. MCMAHON: Well, Judge, at the age of 29 some of my 29-year-old children are a little bit wacky at times too. he's not an 18-year-old, he's not a 15-year-old, he's --

THE COURT: I doubt your kids are joining organizations that rape children.

MR. MCMAHON: Very much so. One of my sons is a Navy pilot. So he certainly would not be in that category.

But Judge, if you take a look at the courtroom. people are from a different culture, a different faith, it's completely different. You really can't compare us the front table, the back table, the lawyer, it's a different culture

because of religion and he gets into this rapture, believes the Koran, believes these videos, believes all of that and says, I'm going to go there and provide medical services and maybe die a martyr's death, a glorious death, but hedging a little bit, return ticket home, and if I come back, get me the car. So what I'm saying, Judge, forever isn't necessarily forever.

There is another thing that I want your Honor to think about. And this is unique culturally for reasons we don't know, and unique to young men. And when I say "young," I mean 30 -- 25, 30, but not to young women. Young men have this concept as far back as reading Ernest Hemingway, writing about joining the Republicans against the Fascists in the Spanish Civil War. He went and joined the military, drove an ambulance. Young men have this thing about testing themselves and going into war, since time immemorial. And it's a concept that young men get fixated on in doing it and you prove yourself in the cauldron of war. Are you strong enough, brave enough to do that?

Now, that's something that is also going through this guy's mind. He's looking at the videos, as he's feeling the shame that he's feeling for having the other guy go and he's sitting home with a job, with a family, and the other guy is carrying an AK-47. So all of those things are together, Judge. It's what I'm trying to present is a picture of a guy who is not irredeemably bad. I know that is a possibility, I know

J4 Case IS17-cr-00421-RA Document 62 Filed 05/06/19 Page 20 of 34

that's a speculation, but he has — when he gets out, he has the possibility not to be irredeemably bad. And if he really was irredeemably bad, he would not have acted the way that he did while in incarceration. He would not have had the people write about him that he is very kind to people. He is, there's just no disputing that fact.

So when you take all those things together, Judge, and I was thinking that that's one of the reasons why the supervised release portion of the sentencing component could be a very significant factor, but a sentence of 25 years, Judge, what are you going to do for the people that make bombs and blow up things here in New York or anywhere? He did no weapons training or rifle training or IED training. He did none of that. He's a nurse, he's a medic.

So if you are giving a 32-year-old guy with no crimes -- now, I understand that the Second Circuit has said it's fine, if you're convicted of terrorism your criminal history category is VI, even though it's I. And even though you're pleading guilty to the crime we're going to add 12 more to the crime because it's terrorism. So they have artificially -- the people in Washington, the sentencing commission or Congress, to say the word is to make its own comment, but they say that if you plead guilty to those crimes, you got to get 25 to 50 years, no matter what your background is. I don't think that's a determination for the politicians

J4 Case 15.17-cr-00421-RA Document 62 Filed 05/06/19 Page 21 of 34

to make. That's a determination for your Honor to make.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

2 So it's not an easy one, Judge. It's a serious crime, 3 but in terms of what he actually did for that crime, and I 4 credit the FBI for that, I credit the law enforcement work, it worked out to his benefit because had cars blown up or had 5 6 things happened, we wouldn't be arguing for a sentence that we're arguing for, so I credit that. But sometimes the actual 7 8 result matters. So I would urge your Honor, given his 9 background, given the good deeds that he has, and he obviously 10 has a good inner character for the way that he has helped 11 people.

So your Honor can fashion the thing, a sentence that will, as best as possible, minimize the risk that he's going to be a recidivist. And I don't think because Washington says that because he pleaded to a terrorism he'll be a recidivist. I don't think it's justified, your Honor. A 25-year sentence is simply not justified. And so, I would, approach your Honor to be far more merciful than that.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Raishani, I read your letter, but is there anything you'd like to say today?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. May I stand?

THE COURT: Yes, you may. Bring the microphone close so I can hear you, please.

THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon.

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1

Thank you, your Honorable Judge Abrams for giving me an opportunity to speak. I want to thank my lawyer and his associate for representing me in this case. I want to thank my family, friends, and loved ones for being here and supporting me.

I would like to begin by saying that I am deeply sorry for the actions that I've committed while being bestowed many great opportunities in living in America. I apologize to the Court, probation, the marshals for using their time and services, resources. I am truly sorry and ashamed for the harm that I have brought to everyone involved, especially my family, my wife and my son. My actions and way of thinking were selfish and wrong. I am very fortunate that I am alive and here standing in front of your Honor.

I acknowledge I did have a problem so I immediately pursued ways to rehabilitate myself. While incarcerated at MCC, I worked on proving myself. I developed a new, more grounded way of thinking. I sought cognitive-behavioral therapy and counseling from a social worker and spiritual leader on a monthly basis. I participated in programs such as Focus Forward, Lead by Example, Step by Step, Reflection, which focused on preparing me for a viable and successful reentry into my community and society.

As a professional nurse, I always had a yearning to help others. Just before I was incarcerated, I would visit

J4 Case IS17-cr-00421-RA Document 62 Filed 05/06/19 Page 23 of 34

2.3

patients at their homes where they are situated in unsafe dangerous neighborhoods, and I would still provide the same dedicated care that I would give to patients at the hospital.

THE COURT: Can you see how from my perspective that looks inconsistent with seeing videos of people being beheaded and wanting to be part of that effort?

THE DEFENDANT: You're absolutely right.

With that dedicated care, it pushed me to join MCC's inmate companion program, where I would observe, monitor, and help fellow inmates who were fragile and unstable in a psychological state and at times would be suicidal.

I participated in many programs, great educational courses. I was an active GE tutor. I facilitated four courses, which I felt were beneficial to my fellow inmates, such as poetry, which would allow inmates to express their feelings and emotions in a written spoken word; time management, so that inmates could better realize their time, reduce the wasting of it, and make more goal-oriented choices; leadership, so that inmates can learn to become leaders, be autonomous, and make more responsible decisions in life; and finally, conflict resolution, where in this course, inmates gain the skills and techniques in anger management and how to defuse a tense situation.

My incarceration has been difficult, but at the same time it has truly been a blessing. I've learned a lot and

J4 Case IS17-cr-00421-RA Document 62 Filed 05/06/19 Page 24 of 34

2.3

changed for the better. My bond with my family is stronger than ever. My outlook for the future is very positive. I am really eager and looking forward to redeeming it. I no longer hold the same views I had when I first came in. I do not support or condone terrorism. I have learned and realized that radicalism does not bring about a fruitful life, a life that would allow me to grow as a human, raise a family and prosper.

In addition to the goals in my letter to you, if the transition into nursing becomes difficult for me when I leave, I would get into my second passion for a time being, which is home renovation and real estate. I would learn these trades by getting jobs in plumbing, electrical and carpentry during and after my incarceration. My most important goal and desire in life is to return to my family, provide them a life that they deserve, and I know that this can only occur after a complete my time.

I'm also seeking forgiveness from you, my family, my friends, my loved ones, my fellow citizens, your Honor. I'm only asking you to please give me an opportunity, a chance to return to my family, redeem a life that I can live up to, be a role model to those that need me and correct my path in life.

Thank you again, your Honor, for allowing me to speak.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I'm going to take just a one-minute break and ask you all just to stay here momentarily.

Thank you.

2 (Recess)

2.3

THE COURT: Everyone can be seated. Thank you.

Is there any reason why sentence cannot be imposed at this time?

MR. TURNER: No, your Honor.

MR. MCMAHON: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: So I'm required to consider the advisory guidelines range, as well as various other factors that are outlined in the provision of the law, it's 18 United States Code, Section 3553(a), and I have done so.

Those factors include, but are not limited to the nature and circumstances of the offense and the personal history and characteristics of the defendant, because each defendant must be considered individually as a person. Judges are also required to consider the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, promote respect for the law, provide just punishment for the offense, afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, protect the public from future crimes of the defendant, and avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities, among other things.

I want to start by saying that in my view there is no danger to society greater than that of terrorism, no danger greater than that posed by those that think that they can impose their will on others through senseless and

J4 Case IS. 17-cr-00421-RA Document 62 Filed 05/06/19 Page 26 of 34

incomprehensible violence. And just to be clear in my view this case is not about culture, it's not about religion, it's about terrorism.

At sentencing, I often see people who are fundamentally good people, but they've done bad things. And in analyzing the sentencing factors, one question is about the defendant's character, that's one of the things you're supposed to look at, who is this person, what did they do, are they likely to do it again? In other words, do they pose a continued risk of danger to society?

I read all the letters from Mr. Raishani's family, his friends, his neighbors, his fellow inmates that describe him as smart and gentle and helpful to others. I've considered the positive things he did he at the MCC including in participating in Focus Forward, being on suicide watch, tutoring other inmates. I heard Mr. Raishani himself talk very eloquently about wanting to help others and describing his love for his family.

But this is a case where I can also learn a tremendous amount about the true character of the individual before me from the conduct itself. This is someone who was willing and indeed eager to leave behind his family and young son to join an entity that slaughters innocents, enslaves women, and rapes children. Mr. Raishani is now apologizing for his conduct for betraying the country which gave him opportunities that he

J4 Case IS17-cr-00421-RA Document 62 Filed 05/06/19 Page 27 of 34

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

acknowledges he never would have had in the country which he was born.

In order to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities, in addition to considering the recommended guidelines sentence I not only relied on the parties' submissions, but I surveyed dozens of publicly available sentences where an individual had been convicted of attempting to provide material support for terrorism and factual circumstances similar to this one. Many courts have sentenced defendants like Mr. Raishani to the statutory maximum for a violation of the material support statute, 18 United States Code 2339(b), which until recently the statutory maximum was 15 years. Sometimes the sentence was imposed consecutive to another sentence on an additional This also includes numerous cases where the defendant did not reach his destination and includes cases where, unlike Mr. Raishani, defendant did not also assist another individual in traveling to fight for ISIS. There are also, of course, a host of cases where defendants received significantly less time for similar conduct.

In assessing further these unwarranted sentencing disparities or the need to avoid them, I took into account numerous factors, including the underlying conduct and the harm caused, whether the individual facilitated the acts of others or acted alone, whether the individual was young and especially impressionable, had a history of mental illness or was somehow

J4 Case IS 17-cr-00421-RA Document 62 Filed 05/06/19 Page 28 of 34

manipulated, whether the individual acted for profit, for passion, or otherwise in the sentence imposed among other factors.

Unlike in some of the material support cases where, like I referred to earlier that I've reviewed where a defendant was young or had mental health issues, Mr. Raishani is a 32-year-old, naturalized United States citizen, with a college degree, a former job as a nurse, and devoted parents, wife, and a young son. Those are not mitigating factors. Nonetheless, he sought to join a terrorist organization whose mission it is to murder nonbelievers.

And his devotion to ISIS was not short-lived. Over a year before the FBI's confidential source began speaking with Mr. Raishani about his allegiance with ISIS, he had already assisted the coconspirator in traveling overseas to join and fight for ISIS. Indeed, it was almost two years after he first assisted another in his successful attempt to travel overseas and fight for ISIS, and Raishani's own attempt to board a plane to Turkey where he planned to cross into Syria to similarly join ISIS.

In a search of his Bronx residence, as we discussed, law enforcement recovered a will the defendant addressed to his family members where, among other things, he criticized his wife for refusing to join ISIS with him and directed her to lie to authorities and say that he went abroad to volunteer. It's

J4 Case IS17-cr-00421-RA Document 62 Filed 05/06/19 Page 29 of 34

true that the defendant never reached his destination and never actually fought for ISIS, but that's undoubtedly what he wanted to do.

As for Raishani's argument that his intention to provide medical services to ISIS makes him less culpable than one who picks up arms on its behalf, I'm unpersuaded. Even if I were to believe that were true factually, as the Supreme Court has noted in Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project, such support frees up other resources within the organization that may be put to violent ends. If the defendant had played a role of a highly competent trained nurse, another ISIS fighter who might have been assisting people medically would have been free to engage in violence. Indeed, I don't think it's been clear ever that Raishani ever maintained that he drew a line between what he would and wouldn't do for this violent organization. He even acknowledged his willingness to die on behalf of ISIS.

One aspect that both parties highlighted in their letters is that the defendant faced discomfort living in this country in part due to others' treatment of him and his faith. His sentencing submission indicates that he left his employment after experiencing negative reactions from coworkers due to his religion and that on one occasion, someone used his prayer mat as a tablecloth. Of course no one should be subject to religious intolerance.

And yet, in response to this intolerance, Mr. Raishani

2.3

was prepared to devote himself to a group which defines itself by its intolerance of other religions. ISIS is well known for its mass executions of nonbelievers, including Muslims who do not share its radical interpretation of Islamic text. This is a group which aims to purify the world of anyone who does not look or think like that them. If the defendant sought to escape religious intolerance, ISIS ways not the right destination.

Finally, I considered the Congress's intent, evidenced by the relevant guidelines analysis for defendants like Raishani to serve sentences that as Judge Walker wrote in his Stewart concurrence, reflect the need for deterrence, regardless of the defendant's prior record. This need is present without regard to whether, as Judge Walker wrote, due to events beyond the defendant's control, the defendant's conduct failed to achieve its intended deadly consequences would be adherence to violent organizations like ISIS are on notice, if you take steps to materially support terrorism, the consequences will be severe.

Mr. Raishani, please rise for the imposition of sentence.

It's the judgment of this Court that you be committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a term of 20 years on Count One, which is the statutory maximum and five years on Count Two, which is the statutory maximum, to run concurrent to

J42BRAIS17-cr-00421-RA Document 62 Filed 05/06/19 Page 31 of 34

1 | one another.

2.3

I'm also imposing a term of supervised release on 20 years on Count One and three years on Count Two to run concurrent to one another. I believe that this sentence is sufficient but not greater than necessary to comply with the purposes of sentencing set forth in the law.

If you'd like, you can be seated while I read the conditions of your supervised release.

I'm not going to read the standard conditions of release, unless anyone asks me to. They're on pages 21 and 22 of the presentence report, I am going to read the mandatory conditions. You must not commit another federal, state, or local crime; you must not unlawfully possess a controlled substance; you must refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance; you must submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter as determined by the Court; and you must comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this Court.

In addition, there's a special condition that I think is appropriate in this case proposed by the probation department. You shall submit your person and any property, residence, vehicle, papers, computer, other electronic communication or data storage devices or media and effects to a search at any time with or without a warrant by any law

2.3

enforcement or probation officer with reasonable suspicion concerning a violation of a condition of a supervised release or unlawful conduct by the person and by any probation officer in a lawful discharge of the officer's supervision functions. I think this is appropriate in light of the electronic devices that were used in connection with the radicalization.

If I didn't note it already, you'll be supervised in the district of your residence.

I decline to impose a fine, because the probation department has reported that you're unable to pay one. I am imposing a special assessment of \$200, which shall be paid immediately.

Pursuant to the plea agreement, the defendant agreed to forfeit \$3,812 to the United States. Is the government still seeking that forfeiture?

MR. TURNER: Your Honor, we are seeking that forfeiture, and we can submit an order for the Court's consideration.

THE COURT: Mr. McMahon, there's no objection to that amount I assume, correct?

MR. MCMAHON: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: The government is seeking restitution. I am assuming the answer is no.

MR. TURNER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: So that's my sentence.

Is there any legal reason why either party believes that this sentence cannot be imposed?

MR. TURNER: No, your Honor.

2.3

MR. MCMAHON: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: The sentence is imposed. That is the sentence of this Court.

You have a right to appeal your conviction and sentence, except to whatever extent you may have validly waived that right as part of your plea agreement. If you do choose to appeal, the notice of appeal must be filed within 14 days of the judgment of conviction. If you're not able to pay the costs of an appeal, you may apply for leave to appeal in forma pauperis, which simply means the Court costs such as filing fees will be waived. If you request, the clerk of court will prepare and file the notice appeal on your behalf.

Are there any open counts against Mr. Raishani or underlying indictments that need to be dismissed?

MR. TURNER: There are, your Honor. The defendant pled guilty to a superseding information. We move that the counts in the underlying indictments be dismissed.

THE COURT: They will be dismissed.

Are there any other applications at this time?

MR. MCMAHON: Yes, your Honor. I would ask that the Court recommend that Mr. Raishani be designated to a facility as close to New York as possible, given his family and ties

21

22

2.3

24

25